UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/574,396 | 05/13/2008 | Wilhelm Landwehr | SSM-561US | 5898 |
| 23122 RATNERPRES | 7590 05/17/201 STIA | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | CE DA 10402 | HANNON, THOMAS R | | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3656 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/17/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/574,396 | LANDWEHR, WILHELM | | | |
| Onice Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thomas R. Hannon | 3656 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>28-48 and 51-60</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30,38,40-44 and 46-4</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>28,29,31-37,39,45 and 51-60</u> is/are reconstruction is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | <u>18</u> is/are withdrawn from consider | ation. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P | nte | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/31/06</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/574,396

Art Unit: 3656

Page 2

Applicant's election without traverse of Species IV, Figure 5 in the reply filed on April 6, 2011 is acknowledged.

As submitted by Applicant, claims 40-44 and 46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Additionally, claims 30, 38, 47 and 48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 58-60 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 6a (Figure 1).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3656

Claim 54 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 4 and 5 "carrier axis" should be changed to --carrier roller axis--. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28, 29, 31-37, 39, 45, and 51-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite with respect to "it" in line 10.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "the running surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This limitation is first properly set forth in claim 32, yet claim 34 depends from claim 28.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28, 31, 34, 35, 39, 45, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kendall et al. US 2,718,168.

Kendall discloses a rotational bearing configured to support a first body for rotation relative to a second body about a rotational axis, one of the first or second bodies being a rotational body and the other being a support body, the rotational bearing comprising a plurality of carrier rollers (25), each carrier roller individually mounted to the first body (11) for rotation

about a respective carrier roller rotational axis extending therefrom, and each carrier roller being in rolling contact with the second body (W), wherein each of the carrier rollers can be optionally and individually removed from the first body and the remaining carrier rollers support the rotational body in any radial direction with respect to the rotational axis and rotationally mount the second body centered between the remaining carrier rollers (this is an inherent feature in the arrangement of the six rollers of Kendall).

With respect to claim 31, the carrier roller (25) rotational axes of Kendall are secured axes relative to the first body.

With respect to claims 34 and 35, the surface of the workpiece W of Kendall corresponds to the claimed portion of the running surface defined by a bearing body connected to the second body, such a surface is "removably connected" within the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim.

With respect to claim 39, the bearing body (of the workpiece W surface) is an annular body.

With respect to claim 45, the carrier rollers (25) of Kendall have rolling surfaces that are shaped to conform to the shape of the running surface.

With respect to claims 51 and 52, the carrier rollers are rotationally mounted by carrier trunnions (63) projecting from the first body, and secured against shifting axially.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,396

Art Unit: 3656

al. US 2,718,168.

Claims 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kendall et

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Kendall in other arrangements requiring rotational support of a shaft, including that of wind power plant wind turbine.

Claims 29, 32, 33, 36, 37, and 53-57 are would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard WL Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,396 Page 6

Art Unit: 3656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656